

Law I - CHAPTER 11 Case problem - Wrench vs. Taco Bell

"Implied in Fact contract"

Wrench, LLC



Rings

shields "psycho Chihuahua"
"fearisty + edgy"

NYC
1996

trade show

Rudy
VP
TACO BELL



Ed.

Creative

interested

Federal Copyright Act

§106

pre-empts state
law claims

protection

"use of the
work"



Calif.
Taco BELL

hired agency
Chiat Day

ad
June 1997

1998 marketing
Chihuahua

"Art Boards"
"Tshirts"
"hats"
"stickers"

idea "live dog"
computer graphics
Discussed "cost"
using dog

Breach
Implied in
Fact contract

Defense
Taco Bell

- ideas not ~~fix~~ novel
- no "stealing" of idea

wrench

"extra element"

not covered by §106

- promise to pay
- value to buyer
(consideration)